Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 12/15/2015, 12/16/2015	Man Days: 2
Inspection Unit: Sparta	
Location of Audit: Sparta	
Exit Meeting Contact: Joe Orsa	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Record Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Valerie Schwing	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

Joe Orsa	Quality Assurance Consultant	
Inspection Contact(s)	Title	Phone No.
	Email:	
	Phone#: (217) 424-6518	
Official or Mayor's Name:	Ron Pate	
	Fax#:	
	Emergency Phone#: (800) 755-5000	
	Peoria, IL 61602	
Headquarters Address Information:	300 Liberty	

Gas System Operations	Status
Gas Transporter	NGPL and Enable
Annual Report (Form 7100.1-1) reviewed for the year:	2013
Unaccounted for Gas	0.32%
Number of Services	824016
Miles of Main	16941
Is the operator maintaining documentation verifying their Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure(s)? (MAOP)	Satisfactory
General Comment:	
Staff reviewed randomly selected Work Order numbers from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
Operating Pressure (Feeder)	55

Operating Pressure (Town)		55
Operating Pressure (Other)		50
MAOP (Feeder)		60
MAOP (Town)		60
MAOP (Other)		55
Does the operator have any transmission pipel	ines?	Yes
Regulato	ory Reporting Records	Status
Category Comment:	<u> </u>	
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This in	nformation is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[191.5]	Were Telephonic Notices of Incidents reported to the NRC (800-424-8802)?	Not Checked
[191.9(a)]	Was a DOT Incident Report Form F7100.1 submitted within 30 days after detection of an incident?	Not Checked
[191.9(b)]	Were any supplemental incident reports submitted when deemed necessary?	Not Checked
Did the operator have any plastic pipe failures i	in the past calendar year?	Not Checked
Did the operator take action to mitigate safety concerns relating to the failure of the PE or pipeline components?		Not Checked
[191.23(a)]	Did the operator report Safety Related Conditions?	Not Checked
[191.25]	Did the Operator file a Safety Related Condition Report within 5 working days of determination, but not later than 10 working days after discovery?	Not Checked
[192.16(c)]	Customer Notification: Has the operator notified each new customer within 90 days about the customer's responsibility regarding buried piping, however, operators of a master meter may continuously post a general notice in a permanent location?	Not Checked
TES1	REQUIREMENTS	Status
Category Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected Work Order numbers fro	m January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.517(a)][192.505,192.507,192.511(c)]	Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig?	Satisfactory
[192.517(b)][192.511,192.509,192.513]	Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig?	Satisfactory
[192.603(b)][192.725]	Were service lines temporarily disconnected from the main properly tested prior to reconnection?	Satisfactory

	UPRATING	Status
Category Comment:		
The Sparta Operating Center has not uprated the system	m during 2013 through November 2014.	
[192.555][192.555]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of 30% or more SMYS?	Not Applicable
[192.557][192.557]	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of less than 30% SMYS?	Not Applicable
	OPERATIONS	Status
Category Comment:		
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This	is information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][192.605(a)]	Has the operator conducted a review of the Operations and Maintenance Manual once per yr/15 months?	Not Checked
Has the operator conducted a review of the 0	Operator Qualification Plan once per yr/15 months?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(3)]	Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to operating personnel?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.605(b)(8)]	Has the operator periodically reviewed personnel's work to determine the effectiveness of normal O&M procedures when deficiencies are found?	Not Checked
CONTINUING	S SURVEILLANCE RECORDS	Status
Category Comment:		
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. Thi	is information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][192.613(a)]	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes, failures, leak history, corrosion, changes in cathodic protection, and other unusual operating and maintenance conditions?	Not Checked
[192.491][192.489]	Does the operator have records verifying if exposed cast iron was examined for evidence of graphitization and if necessary what appropriate action was taken concerning graphitization?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain cast iron pipelin	nes.	
[192.603(b)][192.755]	Does the operator have surveillance records of cast iron pipelines, including appropriate action resulting from tracking circumferential cracking failures, study of leak history, or any other unusual operating and maintenance conditions?	Not Applicable

General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain cast iron pipelines	s.	
[192.603(b)][192.753(a)]	Is each cast iron caulked bell and spigot joint that is subject to a pressure of more than 25 (psig.) sealed as required?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain cast iron pipeline.	S.	
[192.603(b)][192.753(b)]	Is each cast iron caulked bell and spigot joint that is subject to a pressure of 25 (psig.) or less and is exposed for any reason sealed as required?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain cast iron pipeline.	s.	
DAMAGE	PREVENTION RECORDS	Status
<u>Category Comment:</u>		
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This	information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][191.11(a)]	Did the operator track the number of damages per 1000 locate requests for the previous years?	Not Checked
Has the number of damages increased or dec	reased from prior year?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.617]	Does the operator track records of accidents due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failures are addressed to minimize the recurrence?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.614(c)(3)]	Does the operator provide documentation pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one call system?	Not Checked
Does the operator have a Quality Assurance F facilities?	Program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of	Not Checked
Do pipeline operators include performance me	easures in facility locating contracts?	Not Checked
[IL ADM. CO.265.100(b)(1)]	Was third party damage to mains involving a release of gas reported to ICC JULIE Enforcement? http://www.icc.illinois.gov/julie/	Not Checked
Has the Operator adopted applicable sections	of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices?	Not Checked
Were Common Ground Alliance Best Practice	s discussed with the Operator?	Not Checked
EMI	ERGENCY PLANS	Status
Category Comment:		
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This	information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(1)]	Are supervisors, responsible for emergency action,	Not Checked

	furnished copies of the latest edition of the Emergency Plan?	
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(2)]	Has the operator maintained documentation that the appropriate operating personnel have received training to assure they are knowledgeable of emergency procedures and that the training was effective?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(b)(3)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.615(a)(3)]	Did the review of emergency response time intervals regarding odor/leak complaint documentation indicate adequate emergency response intervals were achieved?	Not Checked
	ODORIZATION OF GAS	Status
[192.603(b)][192.625(f)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing using an instrument?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the monthly Odorization Intensity	Test Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.603(b)][192.625(e)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of odorizer tank levels?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the Ordorizor Reports in the Gas	Compliance System from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.603(b)][192.625(f)(1)]	Are master meter operators receiving written verification of odorant concentration levels from their gas supplier?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator is not a master meter.		
[192.603(b)][192.625(f)(2)]	Has the master meter operator maintained documentation of sniff tests performed as required by this section?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator is not a master meter.		
PATR	OLLING & LEAKAGE SURVEY	Status
[192.603(b)][192.721(b)(1)]	Is the operator patrolling business districts at a minimum of 4 per year/4 1/2 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
	critical areas along distribution mains that are required to be patrolled to ensure conditions eakage, and the consequent hazards to public safety.	have not deteriorated,

[192.603(b)][192.721(b)(2)]	Is the operator patrolling outside business districts at a	Not Applicable
0	minimum of 2 per year/7 1/2 months?	
General Comment:		
The operating center's system does not contain critical areas putting the gas facilities in danger of damage or leakage, and	s along distribution mains that are required to be patrolled to ensure conditions of the consequent hazards to public safety.	have not deteriorated
[192.603(b)][192.723(b)(1)]	Is the operator performing leakage surveys in business districts at a minimum of 1 per year/ 15 months? If the operator has inside meter sets that meet this criterion then were those surveyed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur	vey Inspection
[192.603(b)][192.723(b)(2)]	Is the operator performing leakage surveys outside a business district at a minimum of every 5 years/63 months? Is the operator performing leakage surveys on cathodically unprotected pipelines at a minimum of every 3 years/39 months? If the operator has inside meter sets that meet this criterion then were those surveyed? If the operator has yard lines then are those being surveyed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur	rvey Inspection
·		
YARD LIN	NES - RESIDENTIAL	Status
YARD LIN	NES - RESIDENTIAL	Status
Category Comment:	NES - RESIDENTIAL the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur	
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.		
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014. [220 ILCS 2.2.03]	the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet	vey Inspection
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside	the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is	vey Inspection Satisfactory
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014. [220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.463,220 ILCS 2.2.03][220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.723(b)(1),192.723(b)(2)][220 ILCS 2.2.03]	the business, and yard lines, in the Gas Compliance System and the Leak Sur Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is required on these services? After the determination of the cathodic protection requirements, has the operator surveyed each line within	Satisfactory Satisfactory
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014. [220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.463,220 ILCS 2.2.03][220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.723(b)(1),192.723(b)(2)][220 ILCS 2.2.03] ABANDONMENT or DEACTI	Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is required on these services? After the determination of the cathodic protection requirements, has the operator surveyed each line within the required leakage survey intervals?	Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014. [220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.463,220 ILCS 2.2.03][220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.723(b)(1),192.723(b)(2)][220 ILCS 2.2.03] ABANDONMENT or DEACTI	Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is required on these services? After the determination of the cathodic protection requirements, has the operator surveyed each line within the required leakage survey intervals? IVATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each pipeline abandoned in place was disconnected	Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Status
Category Comment: Staff reviewed the leak surveys in business districts, outside Records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014. [220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.463,220 ILCS 2.2.03][220 ILCS 2.2.03] [192.723(b)(1),192.723(b)(2)][220 ILCS 2.2.03] ABANDONMENT or DEACTI [192.603(b)][192.727(b)] General Comment:	Has the operator designated and documented the location of all services where the meter is located more than 3 feet away from the wall of a residence? Has the operator determined if cathodic protection is required on these services? After the determination of the cathodic protection requirements, has the operator surveyed each line within the required leakage survey intervals? IVATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each pipeline abandoned in place was disconnected	Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Status

	that each inactive pipeline that is not being maintained	
	under this part was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas; purged of gas?	
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected Work Order nu	umbers for abandoned pipeline from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.603(b)][192.727(d)]	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that whenever service to a customer was discontinued access to gas was either; locked, prevented by a mechanical fitting, or disconnected from the gas supply and open pipe ends sealed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected Work Order nu	umbers for abandoned pipeline from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.603(b)][192.727(e)]	Did the operator maintain documentation when air was used for purging that a combustible mixture was not present after purging?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Staff reviewed randomly selected Work Order nu	umbers for abandoned pipeline from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.727(g)][192.727(g)]	Did the operator maintain documentation for each abandoned onshore pipeline facility that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not abandon pipeline that cross	ses a commercially navigable waterway from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
PRESSU	JRE LIMITING AND REGULATION	Status
[192.603(b)][192.739(a)]	Is the operator inspecting and testing the pressure limiting and regulating stations at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Staff reviewed the regulator station inspections a 2014.	and the engineering evaluations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, thro	ough November 1,
[192.603(b)][192.743(a)]	Is the operator inspecting pressure limiting and regulating stations for adequate capacity at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		-
Staff reviewed the regulator station inspections a 2014.	and the engineering evaluations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, thro	ugh November 1,
[192.603(b)][192.743(b)]	If the operator used calculations to determine sufficient capacity, were the calculation reviews documented at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		

Staff reviewed the regulator station inspections and the e 2014.	engineering evaluations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, thro	ugh November 1,
[192.603(b)][192.741(a),192.741(b)]	Is each distribution system supplied by more than one district pressure regulating station, or where required by the operator on a system supplied by a single regulating station, equipped with telemetering or recording pressure gauges to indicate the gas pressure in the district?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
The operator's system pressures are continually monitore Room Audit.	ed by the control room in Springfield, IL. The records of the pressures are reviewed	d during the Control
[192.603(b)][192.741(c)]	If there were indications of abnormally high- or low- pressure, were actions taken to correct any unsatisfactory operating conditions?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the regulator station inspections and the e 2014.	engineering evaluations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, thro	ugh November 1,
[192.603(b)][192.743(a),192.743(b),192.195(b	ls overpressure protection provided by the supplier pipeline downstream of the take point?	Yes
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Staff reviewed the component reports and engineering e	valuations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, through November	er 1, 2014.
[192.603(b)][192.743(a)]	If Yes, does the operator have documentation to verify that these devices have adequate capacity?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Staff reviewed the component reports and engineering e	valuations in the Gas Compliance System from January 1, 2013, through November	er 1, 2014.
VAL	VE MAINTENANCE	Status
[192.603(b)][192.747(a),192.747(b)]	Did the operator inspect and maintain distribution valves necessary for the safe operation of the system at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected paper records and the	gas compliance system valve records from January 1, 2013, through November 1,	2014.
[192.603(b)][192.749(a)]	Did the operator inspect and maintain vaults > 200 cubic feet at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator's system does not contain vaults at this ope	erating center.	
Inves	stigation Of Failures	Status
Category Comment:		
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This	s information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][192.617]	Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring	Not Checked

	analysis?	
V	VELDING OF STEEL PIPE	Status
Category Comment:	<u> </u>	
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection	on. This information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.	
[192.603(b)][192.225(b)]	Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.227,192.229]	Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification as required?	Not Checked
[192.807]	Does the operator have documentation of welder OQ records?	Not Checked
[192.709][192.243(b)(2)]	Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?	Not Checked
[192.709][192.243(f)]	Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?	Not Checked
JOINING OF	MATERIAL OTHER THAN WELDING	Status
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection [192.603(b)][192.285]	Are persons making joints with plastic pipe qualified?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.285]	Are persons making joints with plastic pipe qualified?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.287]	Are persons inspecting plastic pipe joints qualified?	Not Checked
[192.603(b)][192.283]	Are qualified joining procedures for plastic pipe in place?	Not Checked
CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS		Status
[192.491(a)][192.491(a)]	Has the operator maintained maps or records of cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the operator's GIS mapping in the	Byers system.	
[192.491][192.459]	Has the operator maintained documentation of an examination when buried pipe was exposed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected paper Buried Pi	pe Examination records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.491][192.465(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of annual pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months and/or isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet at a minimum of 10% annually?	Satisfactory

General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.465(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.465(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months and/or non-critical interference bond inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.465(d)]	Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	,	
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.465(e)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.467(a),192.467(c),192.467(d)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests for electrical isolation at casings?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.469]	Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.471]	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?	Satisfactory

General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and comber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.473(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected pipe to soil readings for the gas compliance system from January 1, 2013, through Nove	e isolated sections, rectifier inspections, critical bonds, yard line surveys, and c mber 1, 2014.	asing readings in the
[192.491][192.475(a)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does not transport corrosive gas.		
[192.491][192.475(b)]	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected paper Buried Pipe Examina	ation records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.491][192.477]	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/ 7 1/2 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected paper Buried Pipe Examina	ation records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	
[192.491][192.481]	Has the operator maintained documentation of atmospheric corrosion control monitoring at a minimum of 1 per 3 years/ 39 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed randomly selected notes from the atmospheric system from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	c corrosion surveys in conjunction with the leak surveys and the records in the	gas compliance
[192.491][192.479]	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective action where atmospheric corrosion was discovered?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
Staff reviewed randomly selected notes from the atmospheric system from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.	c corrosion surveys in conjunction with the leak surveys and the records in the	gas compliance
[192.491][192.483(a),192.483(b),192.483(c)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that pipe removed due to external corrosion has been repaired or replaced with pipe that was coated and cathodically protected?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		

Staff reviewed randomly selected paper Buried Pipe Examination records from January 1, 2013, through November 1, 2014.			
TRAINING - 83 IL ADM. CODE 520		Status	
Category Comment:			
This was not reviewed during the Sparta inspection. This information is reviewed during the Ameren Pawnee audit.t.			
[520.10(a) (1)]	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Checked	
[520.10(a) (2)]	Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification?	Not Checked	
[520.10(b)]	Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Checked	
[520.10(a)(5)]	Are procedures periodically updated to include new materials, new methods of operation and installation, and changes in general procedures?	Not Checked	